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INTRODUCTION

Testicular and epididymal appendages were once considered anatomic anomalies (1), however some studies report that these structures are present in the majority of normal individuals (2). Such appendages, when they are too long or pedunculated, can twist around their own axis, causing very painful symptoms, simulating torsion of the spermatic cord (3,4). There are also reports on tumors originated from these structures (5).

The testicular appendage derives from the upper portion of the paramesonephric duct, and is also known as sessile hydatid of Morgagni (6). The portion of the mesonephric duct that is cranial to the testis can form the pedunculated hydatid of Morgagni, currently known as epididymal appendage.

Other vestigial structures derived from this portion of the mesonephric duct are the “Haller’s organs”, located in the fissure between the testis and the epididymis, consisting of a group of superior and inferior aberrant vessels, and the “Giraldes’ organ”,
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also called paradidymis or innominate body, located in the distal portion of the spermatic cord (2,6) (Figure 1).

There are several studies analyzing the macro and microscopic structure of the testicular and epididymal appendages in the literature (2,7). The study of the incidence of such structures in patients with cryptorchidism and the comparison of these findings with individuals without anomalies in testicular position are rare in the literature.

The objective of this work is to study the incidence of the testicular and epididymal appendages in patients with cryptorchidism, using patients who underwent subcapsular orchiectomy or surgical treatment of hydrocele as a control group.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the period from March 2001 to January 2004, we studied 65 patients having cryptorchidism, with ages ranging from 1 to 18 years who underwent orchiopexy, totaling 83 testes, and 40 patients having prostate adenocarcinoma and hydrocele (control group), with ages ranging from 5 to 82 years, submitted to orchiectomy or surgical treatment of hydrocele, totaling 55 testes. All patients with cryptorchidism had their testes located in the inguinal canal and all patients from the control group had their testes located in the scrotum.

We analyzed the following situations in the 2 groups, during the surgeries: I) absence of testicular and epididymal appendages, II) presence of testicular appendage only, III) presence of epididymal appendage, IV) presence of testicular and epididymal appendage, V) presence of 2 epididymal appendages and 1 testicular appendage, and VI) presence of paradidymis or vas aberrans of Haller.

The qui-square statistical test was used for comparison between the 2 populations (8).

RESULTS

The results of incidence of testicular and epididymal appendages in patients with cryptorchidism and in the control group, according to the 6 proposed situations, are demonstrated in the Table 1.

In patients with cryptorchidism we found testicular appendages in 23 cases (41.8%), epididymal appendage in 9 (16.3%), testicular and epididymal appendage in 8 (14.5%), 2 epididymal appendages and 1 testicular in 1 (1.8%), and absence of append-

![Figure 1 - The scheme evidences the most frequent location of testicular and epididymal appendages. TA = testicular appendage, EA = epididymal appendage, Par = paradidymis; asterisks = superior and inferior vas aberrans of Haller, T = testis, Ep = epididymis, VD = vas deferens. (Illustration based on Rolnick D, Kawanoue S, Szanto P, Bush IM: Anatomical incidence of testicular appendages. J Urol. 1968; 100: 755-6).](image-url)
Table 1 - Incidence of testicular and epididymal appendages in patients with cryptorchidism and in patients who underwent orchiectomy or surgical repair of hydrocele, according to the 6 groups studied: I) absence of testicular and epididymal appendages, II) presence of testicular appendage only, III) presence of epididymal appendage, IV) presence of testicular and epididymal appendage, V) presence of 2 epididymal appendages and 1 testicular appendage, and VI) presence of paradidymis or vas aberrans of Haller.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Cryptorchidism</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>14 (25.4%)</td>
<td>28 (33.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>23 (41.8%)</td>
<td>29 (34.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>9 (16.3%)</td>
<td>19 (22.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>8 (14.5%)</td>
<td>7 (8.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1 (1.8%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A number of anomalies are associated with cryptorchidism, however the epididymal anomalies and inguinal hernia are among the most frequent ones (11-13). The epididymal anomalies are associated with cryptorchidism, with an incidence that ranges from 36 to 79% (14,15). The incidence of testicular and epididymal appendages is seldom reported in patients with cryptorchidism.

The knowledge of the presence, shape and location of the testicular and epididymal appendages is important, due to the possibility of torsion of such structures, association with anatomical anomalies, and the possibility of occurrence of tumors in these structures (2,5,12).

We found the presence of testicular appendage in more than 55% of patients with cryptorchidism and in 43% of patients from the control group. Rolnick et al. (2) in 100 necropsies found the testicular appendage in 92% of cases. Sundarasivarao (16) found testicular appendages in 80% of his sample.

In our sample, we detected epididymal appendages in 32% of patients with cryptorchidism and in 31% in the control group. Rolnick et al. (2) found this appendage in 34% of their casuistry, and Sundarasivarao (16) in 23%. The presence of multiple testicular and epididymal appendages is rare (2,7,16), a fact confirmed by our findings, where this anomaly was observed in only 1 case.

The occurrence of testicular and epididymal appendages is quite variable. We found no statistically significant difference in the incidence and distribution of these structures between patients with cryptorchidism and those from the control group.

DISCUSSION

Cryptorchidism is one of the most common congenital pathologies in males, with an incidence of 2 to 5% in full-term births, which can reach 30% in premature births (9-11).
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