Application of Self-retaining Bidirectional Barbed Absorbable Suture in Retroperitoneoscopic Partial Nephrectomy
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the safety and feasibility of self-retaining bidirectional barbed absorbable suture application in retroperitoneoscopic partial nephrectomy.

Materials and Methods: From Sep 2011 and Aug 2012, 76 cases of retroperitoneoscopic partial nephrectomy were performed at our hospital. The patients were divided into two groups: self-retaining barbed suture (SRBS) group (n = 36) and non-SRBS group (n = 40). There was no significant difference in age, sex, tumor size and location between the two groups. Clinical data and outcomes were analyzed retrospectively.

Results: All 76 cases of retroperitoneoscopic partial nephrectomy were successfully performed, without conversion to open surgery or serious intraoperative complications. In the SRBS group, the suture time, warm ischemia time and operation blood loss were significantly shorter than that of non-SRBS group (p < 0.01), and operation time and hospital stay were shorter than that of non-SRBS group (p < 0.05).

Conclusions: The application of self-retaining bidirectional barbed absorbable suture in retroperitoneoscopic partial nephrectomy could shorten suture time and warm ischemia time, with good safety and feasibility, worthy of being used in clinic.

INTRODUCTION

With the fast development of laparoscopic technique, laparoscopic partial nephrectomy (LPN) became a new way to treat T1 renal cell carcinoma (RCC) (1). Compared with open partial nephrectomy (OPN), LPN has many advances such as less postoperative pain therapy, shorter hospital stay time and quicker recovery (2-4). But it has an increased complication rate and longer warm ischemia time (5,6). Quill SRS bidirectional barbed suture (Quill Self-Retaining System; Angiotech Pharmaceuticals, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada) consists of a delayed-absorbable material (polydioxanone) cut with barbs that prevents slippage through tissue and avoids to knot, increases efficiency, and shortens suture time. Quill SRS has been described for use in LPN and can decrease suture time and warm ischemia time (WIT). From September 2011 to August 2012, 76 cases of retroperitoneoscopic partial nephrectomy (RPN) were performed at our hospital, and Quill SRS was used in 36 cases of them. Clinical data and outcomes were analyzed retrospectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 76 patient records were reviewed; all patients were diagnosed with renal carcinoma by CT or MRI before operation, all patients were randomly divided into two groups: self-retaining barbed suture (SRBS) group (n =
and non-SRBS (n = 40) group. There were no significant differences in age, sex, tumor size and location between the two groups (Table-1). All cases were in stage T₁N₀M₀ according to AJCC. Prior to the study, the protocol was approved by our local institutional ethics committee, and in accordance to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Helsinki Declaration. Written, informed consent was obtained from all of the subjects.

Retroperitoneoscopic Partial Nephrectomy Procedure (left)

The patient was placed in the right lateral position. Port A (posterior axillary line under the 12th rib) was created using a home-made balloon and 500-800mL of CO₂ was inflated. Port B (anterior axillary line under the 11th rib) was created and digitally guided. Port C (median axillary line, 1-2cm above the iliac crest) was created and a 10mm trocar was inserted. A 12mm trocar was inserted in port A. Initially the lumbar fascia was sutured and next the skin and muscle were sutured. After the access of the peritoneal cavity the extraperitoneal and perirenal fascias were separated using an ultrasonic scissor from up to down and from anterior to posterior location, and the peritoneal reflection and the Gerota fascia were clearly identified. Gerota fascia was dissected close to the peritoneal reflection, beyond the renal superior pole and 3-4cm below the inferior kidney pole. At this site, the dissection must be careful in order to identify the ureter. The renal pedicle was dissected and a bulldog clamp was used to clamp the renal artery. The mass was excised using a laparoscopic scissor maintaining a 0.5 – 1.0cm margin. For SRBS group, a single barbed bidirectional suture 1-PDO 14x14 cm 1/2 was used to suture the kidney (Figure-1). One needle entered first through kidney surface and stopped at the middle of the whole suture. Continuous suture was used to close renal pelvis or calices; then the needle went out through contralateral surface of the kidney.

### Table 1 - Comparisons between characteristics of operation and postoperative outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SRBS group (n = 36)</th>
<th>Non-SRBS group (n = 40)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age(y)</td>
<td>51.3 ± 10.1</td>
<td>50.8 ± 11.2</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (m/f)</td>
<td>21/15</td>
<td>24/16</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor Size (X ± s) cm</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left/right (n)</td>
<td>16/20</td>
<td>17/23</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location (upper pole/middle part/ lower pole) (n)</td>
<td>14/5/17</td>
<td>17/4/19</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suture time (X ± s) min</td>
<td>10.4 ± 3.2</td>
<td>19.4 ± 6.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm ischemia time (X ± s) min</td>
<td>15.2 ± 4.2</td>
<td>24.1 ± 5.6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time (X ± s) min</td>
<td>78.5 ± 15.4</td>
<td>90.3 ± 18.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss (X ± s) mL</td>
<td>60.5 ± 21.2</td>
<td>110.4 ± 21.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion(n)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine leak (n)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood urine(n)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (X ± s) (d)</td>
<td>5.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>6.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and continuous suture was used again to close the kidney. Another needle was used to close the left part. After the suture, the left quill line was used to tie a knot, or a Hem-o-lock was used to close at the end of suture (Figure 2).

For the non-SRBS group, the tumor bed and the collecting system were sutured with a continuous 3-0 polyglactin suture then superficial renorrhaphy was performed with running 3-0 polyglactin line intermittently, with Hem-o-lock clip for every suture. The bulldog clamp was removed and there was no bleeding in the surgical field and the tumor was removed through the Port A.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software for Windows (Statistical Product and Service Solutions, version 10.0, SSPS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Categorical variables were compared with the chi-square test; continuous variables were compared with the Mann-Whitney U test. A value for P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

All 76 cases of retroperitoneoscopic partial nephrectomies were successfully performed, without conversion to open surgery or important intraoperative complications. All patients were followed for 1~11 months, without local recurrence and distant metastasis. In the SRBS group, the suture time, warm ischemia time and operation blood loss were shorter than that of non-SRBS group significantly; operation time and hospital stay were also shorter than that of non-SRBS group (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

With the widespread application of B ultrasound, CT and MRI exams, incidental renal cell carcinoma increased generally recently, which has characteristics of small size, low stage, slow growth and low potential for metastasis, with better prognosis than symptomatic renal cell carcinoma; the operation is the gold standard treatment for most T1 RCC currently (7).

Partial nephrectomy (PN) has been a new treatment for T1a renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Some studies show that chronic kidney disease (CKD) has relations with cardiovascular diseases (8), and when GFR < 60mL/min, the risks of death and in hospital treatment increase (9). RN is considered as a risk factor for the genesis and the development of CKD; PN treatment keeps more kidney units left and decreases those affected (10,11). RN is a risk factor for the genesis and worsening of CKD; the studies showed that RN could increase the death rate and renal failure of RCC patients (12,13), PN can get the same outcomes with RN in histology, and it can maintain the kidney and cardiovascular function better in a long term follow-up (11,14).

PN includes open partial nephrectomy (OPN), laparoscopic partial nephrectomy (LPN), and robot-assisted partial nephrectomy (RAPN). LPN has gained increased acceptance with equivalent results at oncological and renal function.
outcomes as OPN, with many advances such as less postoperative pain therapy, shorter hospital stay, and quick recovery (2-4).

LPN includes transperitoneal approach and retroperitoneoscopic approaches. Gill described the first retroperitoneoscopic partial nephrectomy in 1994 (15), Winfield finished the first retroperitoneoscopic partial nephrectomy in 1993 (16). The retroperitoneoscopic approach has advantages of easier controlling of kidney vessel, less disturbance of internal organs, and disadvantages of smaller operation field, less anatomic landmarks. Anatomic, programmed and standard operation could make up the disadvantages of the retroperitoneoscopic approach (1).

Even though with more advantages, LPN keeps some challenge for many urologists, resulting in more intraoperative complications (blood and urine leak etc.) and longer WIT. The WIT is closely related with kidney function, while the WIT > 30 minutes, the kidney function was affected more than 3-5 times (17,18). Suturing was the best way to keep kidney and to avoid urine leak, but it had great challenges (19,20). The good suturing techniques could decrease the rate of complications and shorten suturing time (3). Hem-o-lok substitution for knots was valid and safe (20,21), and could shorten suturing time and reduce WIT, but renal closures was still not tighter enough.

Bidirectional barbed sutures are manufactured from monofilament fibers via a micromachining technique that cuts barbs into the suture around the circumference in a helical pattern. The barbs are separated from one another by a distance of 0.88 to 0.98 mm and are divided into 2 groups that face each other in opposing directions from the suture midpoint. The use of knotless, barbed suture can securely suture tissues with less time, to close multiple layers tissues at the same time, and to decrease operation blood loss (22). Our study showed that Quill SRS barbed suture could improve efficiency in LPN, simplifying the suturing procedure, shortening suture time and WIT, decreasing blood loss, with a tighten renal closure, and decrease of the incidence of urine leaks, hemorrhage, or other complications. Quill SRS consists of a delayed-absorbable material (polydioxanone) cut with barbs, which could prevent slippage through tissue and strengthen the suture, decreasing the chance of blood loss.

The application of Quill SRS bidirectional barbed absorbable suture in retroperitoneoscopic partial nephrectomy could shorten suturing time and warm ischemia time, with good safety and feasibility, worthy of being used generally in clinic.
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