Comparison of Urinary and Serum CA 19–9 as Markers of Early Stage Urothelial Carcinoma
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Although the glycoprotein group tumor marker CA 19-9 has been detected in both serum and urine of bladder cancer patients, information about their comparative role in screening of low grade transitional cell carcinoma (LGTCC) and high grade transitional cell carcinoma (HGTCC) is rare.

Materials and Methods: In this study we measured both the urinary and serum levels of CA 19-9 in 35 LGTCC and 20 HGTCC patients by ELISA and determined the cut off value of both urinary and serum CA 19-9 levels by receiver operator characteristic curve (ROC) for both patient groups. Odds ratio (OR) for CA 19-9 was analyzed with its range at 95% confidence interval to analyze the role of this tumor marker as a screening parameter for both of these cancer types.

Results: For urinary CA 19-9 the OR was 20.16 with an interval of 4.91-82.71 whereas for the serum CA 19-9 it was 7.5 with an interval of 2.28-24.62.

Conclusions: From these data we suggest that urinary CA 19-9 is a better screening parameter with optimum sensitivity and specificity than its serum counterpart for diagnosis of low grade and early stages of transitional cell carcinoma of urinary bladder. Furthermore, it can be suggested that urinary CA 19-9 can be used as better prognostic marker for LGTCC than its serum counterpart.

INTRODUCTION

Tumor markers are biochemical substances elaborated by tumor cells either due to the cause or effect of malignant process. These markers can be normal endogenous products that are produced at a greater rate in cancer cells or the products of newly switched on genes that remained quiescent in the normal cells. They may be present as intracellular substances in tissues or may be released into the circulation and appear in serum. An ideal tumor marker should be highly sensitive and specific, and should be able to detect neoplastic growth from non-neoplastic growth. Its level should rise before the tumor proceeds, so that it can be used as an early detection marker in any cancer (1,2).

Bladder cancer is a common urologic cancer. Bladder cancer is the fourth most common cancer in men in the United States, after prostate, lung, and colorectal cancer. Bladder cancer is the 10th most common cancer in women (3). The most common type of bladder cancer in the United States is urothelial carcinoma, formerly known as transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) (4) which happens to be the 7th most common cancer in men and the 17th most common cancer in women worldwide (5). The urothelium in the entire urinary tract may be involved, including the renal pelvis, ureter, bladder, and urethra.
The clinical course of bladder cancer carries a broad spectrum of aggressiveness and risk. The detection of bladder cancer mostly depends on urinary cytology and cystoscopy. Cystoscopy has been proven quite successful in surveillance and follow-up of patients with treated bladder cancer. The drawback of cystoscopy is that it is quite expensive, invasive and uncomfortable (6). Urine cytology has been the gold standard for bladder cancer screening and surveillance in the past (7) but it is subjective and requires adequate number of exfoliated cells in the urine, and cellular alterations are likely due to change in collection conditions and therapeutic interventions. Urine cytology is performed at the same time as cystoscopy, although its routine use for screening is controversial (8). Urine cytology is associated with a significant false-negative rate, especially for low-grade carcinoma (10-50% accuracy rate). Studies have evaluated the clinical significance of urinary CA19-9 levels in bladder cancer patients classified according to various combinations of Lewis (Le) and Secretor (Se) genotypes (9). The CA 19-9 concentration correlated well with the clinical response to treatment. CA 19-9 increases very early during recurrence in patients with a mean lead-time of 4-6 months before the clinical diagnosis. The marker is 210 kDa tumor-associated glycoprotein antigen present as carbohydrate determinant on glycolipid and glycoprotein. Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 was established from a colon cancer cell line (10) and it has been clinically applied as a useful tumor marker of pancreatic and gastrointestinal carcinoma (11-13). Many immunohistochemical studies have shown carbohydrate antigen 19-9 expression in various normal tissues, including the pancreas, gall bladder, stomach, colon, bronchial tree, endometrium, salivary glands, kidney and prostate (14-17). Furthermore, it is well known that the serum level is elevated in some non-malignant diseases. In 99.6% of healthy adults, serum CA 19-9 levels are lower than 37µ/mL. Value less than 100µ/mL is considered as grey zone values in which malignant and benign diseases may overlap. Pal et al. reported urinary CA 19-9 to be a sensitive marker for an early diagnosis of transitional cell carcinoma but did not evaluate its comparative role with its serum counterpart (18). Although studies have evaluated the clinical significance of urinary CA19-9 levels in bladder cancer patients classified according to various combinations of Lewis (Le) and Secretor (Se) genotypes, reports regarding its sensitivity as an early marker in low and high grade transitional cell carcinomas are scarcely available, particularly in comparison to its serum counterpart. Hence, we hypothesized that the urinary and serum level of this marker may not exhibit same degree of sensitivity and specificity for early detection of urothelial carcinoma. Accordingly, we made an effort to evaluate the usefulness of urinary CA19-9 in comparison to the serum CA 19-9 for an early diagnosis of urothelial carcinoma in the present study. The aim of this study was to detect urinary level of CA 19-9 in different stages of bladder cancer and its role in early diagnosis of cancer, and also to establish it as a good non-invasive diagnostic tool in conjunction with urine cytology and cystoscopy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

The study was conducted in the Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education & Research, West Bengal, India as a cross-sectional observational study conducted over a period of 2 years, from September 2008 to September 2010. The study followed the rules and regulations of the modified Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the properly constituted institutional ethical committee for studies involving human subjects.

Selection of cases and controls

The cases and control groups were selected from the outpatient department (OPD) and indoor section of the Urologic Department. Cases were selected with confirmed histopathological carcinoma with no history of any previous occurrence of malignant disorder. As there is no clear and unanimous data about the prevalence of urogenital carcinoma in our country at present, we decided to include cases on convenience basis for the present hospital based study. All
patients diagnosed as suffering from transitional cell carcinoma in the urology department of our hospital were included. The total study period was from September 2008 to September 2010. Exclusion criteria for selection of subjects in the case group were patients suffering from i) any other malignant disorders as evident from careful history, clinical examination and routine clinical investigation, ii) any endocrinological disorders, any pathological lesion in prostate, kidney and gastrointestinal tracts. Control subjects were selected from those having no previous history of any urological disorder according to criteria: i) history of hematuria, ii) history of flank pain, iii) abdominal mass, iv) anorexia, v) weight loss, vi) dysuria, vii) H/O prostatism eg. nocturia, difficulty in starting and stopping the urine stream, overflow dribbling, poor urine stream, and other obstructive symptoms were excluded from the present study. All control subjects were screened for any inflammatory or malignant disorder by obtaining detailed history and clinical examination. Overall criteria for choosing cases and controls are presented in Table-1.

Informed consent obtained from each patient before participation and the study was approved by institutional ethical committee. Following the above mentioned criteria 55 and 50 persons were finally selected in the case and control group respectively.

### Collection of tissue samples

Tissue samples from the case group were collected during their surgery (exploration or removal of the tumor). When partial or complete removal was performed tissue samples were isolated from several area of the affected organ to maximize the histopathological diagnosis. For inoperable masses an appropriate amount of tissue was isolated. Although the process was limited by invasion of the tumor mass into the surrounding area, multiple tissue samples were removed as far as practicable without disturbing the surrounding area. Urine and blood samples were collected from the patients just before their surgery.

### Study Methods:

a) Concentration of urine sample: Urine sample was concentrated 20 times by Biogel-p. Dried gels having pore size 90-180µm were added to a measured volume of urine as weighed granules. Water and small molecules were attracted into the gel by osmosis. The exclusion limit of the biogel p is 6000 dalton. Those molecular weights > 6000 dalton were excluded by pore size. It is almost always necessary to concentrate the urine before test. The urine samples were taken and concentrated by

---

**Table 1 - Criteria for selection of cases and controls.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case (n = 55)</th>
<th>Control (n = 50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History of hematuria</td>
<td>Present in all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flank pain</td>
<td>Inconsistently present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal mass</td>
<td>Mostly present in HGTCC,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss and /or anorexia</td>
<td>Present in all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USG</td>
<td>Space occupying lesion in lower abdomen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histopathology</td>
<td>Performed in all cases and accordingly classified into HGTCC and LGTCC according to the tumor morphology and invasion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
passing them through biogel in 1:20 ratio. 50µg of biogel was taken in an aliquot and to it 1000µl of urine added and kept in 2-4°C for 5 hours. The CA 19-9 is a tumor marker of molecular weight of 210 kD. So the supernatant urine will contain the CA19-9 molecules and the low molecular weight particles will be adsorbed by the gel. The supernatant of the urine sample were collected and tested for CA19-9 level by ELISA method.

b) Measurement of urinary and serum CA19-9 levels: measurement of urinary and serum CA19-9 levels of both patients and controls was done by enzyme immunoassay from Monobind- AcuBind ELISA kit.

c) Detection of malignant cell in urine was done by urinary cytology with Papanicolaou (PAP) staining for malignant cell detection.

d) Histological grading of tumor was done by histopathology of malignant tissues derived from the urinary bladder. The LGTCC were diagnosed mainly by the features of minimal nuclear atypia, infrequent mitotic figures predominantly towards the base, and mid variation on nuclear size and shape. The HGTCC were diagnosed mainly by the features of frank anaplasia, frequent mitotic figures including atypical ones, and a much higher incidence of invasion into the muscular layer.

**Data analysis**

Data obtained for the selected parameters from the case and control groups were analyzed for significance of differences between urinary and serum parameters in case and control groups. Receiver operator characteristic curve (ROC) was used to find out cut off value for the tumor markers at a definite level of true sensitivity against false positivity. All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 16.0 for Windows.

**RESULTS**

From the comparative values shown in the Table-2 it is evident that there is no statistically significant difference between the age and sex ratio of case and control groups. Furthermore, it is seen that urinary CA 19-9 values are significantly higher in the case group (Figure-1).

A sensitivity of 0.943 and false positivity of 0.450 was chosen at the left uppermost corner of the curve (marked) with the corresponding cut off value of urinary CA19-9 of 114.5 IU/l.

A sensitivity of 0.714 and false positivity of 0.250 was chosen at the left uppermost corner of the curve (marked) with the corresponding cut off value of serum CA19-9 of 17.90 IU/l.

Table-3 shows that Odd’s ratio (OR) is 20.16 and its range for 95% CI = 4.91-82.71. The range of OR is above 1 that suggests that urinary level of CA19-9 in low grade TCC patients is highly significant than observed in high grade cases.

Table-4 shows that Odd’s ratio is 7.5 and its range for 95% CI = 2.28-24.62. The range of OR is above 1 so it suggests that serum level of CA19-9 in low grade TCC is significant but its significance is lower than urinary CA19-9 level.

Urinary cytology was performed only in the patient group. The sensitivity for it in our study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 - Comparative data analysis of age, sex and urinary CA 19-9 between case and control groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Case (n = 55)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in yrs (mean ± SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary CA 19-9 value in u/mL (mean ± SD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
population was 20 and 40 percent for the LGTCC and HGTCC categories respectively. In contrast to these values the sensitivity detected were 94.2 and 45 percent for the urinary CA 19-9 in the LGTCC and HGTCC groups respectively as obtained from our ROC curve (Table-3 and Figure-2).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study an attempt was made to assess the role of CA19-9 as a tumor marker in urine and serum of patients of histopathologically confirmed bladder cancer. Bladder cancer is amenable to biomarker development because many tumor-associated molecules are secreted in urine. Tumor cells are shed in urine, and, therefore, tests that detect tumor cell-surface markers have also been developed to diagnose bladder cancer and monitor its recurrence. Several bladder tumor markers show higher sensitivity than cytology, but most have lower specificity. In isolated papers it has been observed that the serum CA19-9 level is higher in

---

**Table 3 - Comparison of urinary level of CA19-9 between low grade and high grade transitional cell carcinoma with cut-off value of urinary CA19-9 of 114.5 IU/l.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinary CA19-9</th>
<th>LGTCC</th>
<th>HGTCC</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Above cut-off</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below cut-off</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HGTCC** = High grade transitional cell carcinoma.
**LGTCC** = Low grade transitional cell carcinoma

χ² = 17.12

**Odds ratio** = 20.16

(95% CI = 4.91-82.71).
few benign urological disease but more often it is associated with transitional cell carcinoma of bladder (19). It is also reported that serum CA19-9 is a diagnostic marker in case of urachal carcinoma as the embryological origin of urachus and colon are the same (20). Although cytology accurately detects high grade lesions, it lacks the sensitivity to detect the low grade tumors that characterize most bladder cancer cases (21,22). Urine cytology is associated with a significant false-negative rate, especially for low-grade carcinoma (10-50% accuracy rate).

The false-positive rate is 1-12%, but it has a 95% accuracy rate for diagnosing high-grade carcinoma and CIS. The sensitivity of urine cytology can be increased by obtaining a bladder barbotage cytology (70%) as opposed to a voided cytology (30%).

With the difficulty in identifying asymptomatic individuals with bladder cancer, the characterization of markers for the early detection of bladder cancer has become a high priority. Nevertheless, extensive and long-term follow-up is needed to prevent progression to invasive, potentially lethal UCC.

**Table 4 - Comparison of serum level of CA19-9 between Low grade and High grade transitional cell carcinoma with a cut-off of value for serum CA-19-9 of 17.9 IU/l.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum CA 19-9</th>
<th>LGTCC</th>
<th>HGTCC</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Above cut-off</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below cut-off</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HGTC** = High grade transitional cell carcinoma.
**LGTCC** = Low grade transitional cell carcinoma

\[ x^2 = 11.06 \]

Odds ratio = 7.5
(95% CI = 2.28-24.62)

**Figure 2 - Cut off value of urinary CA 19-9 as deduced from ROC Curve.**
and so, an easily available tumor marker is needed, that can be easily measured with lesser time, shows significantly high sensitivity with minimum false positivity and is easily reproducible.

In the present study we used ROC curves to find out the cut off value of the tumor marker CA 19-9 from its corresponding values in 35 LGTCC and 20 HGTCC case subjects. The cut off value at the left uppermost corner of the ROC curve was selected as it signified maximum sensitivity with minimum false positivity. Tables 3 and 4 show the distribution of CA 19-9 in these two groups based on this cut off value in urine and serum respectively. For the urinary CA 19-9, we found an Odds Ratio to be 20.16 with a range of 4.91 to 82.71 at 95% CI that suggested a significant elevation in the urinary level of this tumor marker in all cases. When we analyzed the Odds Ratio for the same tumor marker in serum a value of 7.5 with its range from 2.28 to 24.62 at 95% CI was obtained. Although it signified a significantly raised level of this tumor marker in the serum of the case group, its predictive value was much lower in comparison to that of its urinary level (7.5 vs. 20.16). Our data analysis thus indicated that increases in the urinary level of CA19-9 was more closely and significantly associated with the low grade TCC patients than their high grade counterparts. The importance of the urinary level of CA 19-9 in diagnosis and prognosis of bladder cancer has been reiterated in some other studies. Urinary CA19-9 and DU-PAN-2 levels were measured as units per mg creatinine (U/mg Cr) in 121 patients with bladder cancer and in 31 patients with other urologic diseases. The cut-off value determined using receiver operating characteristics analyses was 37.6 U/mg Cr. Approximately 70% of bladder cancer patients with both Le and Se alleles demonstrated urinary CA19-9 levels above the cut-off value. In contrast, only 16% of patients with other urologic diseases were above the cut-off value which suggested that the urinary CA19-9 level could be a new effective diagnostic tool in bladder cancer patients with both Le and Se alleles (9). Keeping in track with these observations our study furthermore suggests that urinary level is not only a sensitive marker for early diagnosis but it is also can be utilized as a better predictor for early stages of urothelial carcinoma than its corresponding serum level. In bladder cancers, as urinary excretion of this tumor marker occurs directly from its source tissue, its urinary appearance is more probable at an earlier phase than its serum counterpart. However, in advanced stages of tumor progression the serum level is raised to a significant amount due to increased production and possible spread to other organs that leads to almost similar type of appearance of this tumor marker in both urine and serum. Hence and from the findings of our study we suggest that urinary CA 19-9 level merits particular importance for diagnosis of low grade transitional cell carcinoma which is of much importance if the treatment and prognosis of the disease are concerned. However, these observations have to be correlated to and integrated with the results obtained for other tumor marker for bladder carcinoma in future studies involving larger selection of people from different areas of world. Our results should be interpreted with these limitations.

**ABBREVIATIONS**

PAP = Papanicolaou stain
HGTCC = High grade transitional cell carcinoma
LGTCC = Low grade transitional cell carcinoma
TCC = Transitional cell carcinoma
ROC = Receiver operator characteristic curve
OR = Odds ratio
ELISA = Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
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